



GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

Proposed Masters Home Improvement Store 5-7 Hilton Street and 7 Scott Road, South Tamworth NSW

Hydrox Nominees P/L – March 2013



DOCUMENT CONTROL

GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

Proposed Masters Home Improvement Store 5-7 Hilton Street and 7 Scott Road South Tamworth, NSW

PREPARED FOR

Mr Patrick Leong Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd PO Box 8000 Baulkham Hills NSW 2153

Report reference:	1201085GTRRpt01FinalV01_04Mar2013
Date:	4 th March 2013

DISTRIBUTION AND REVISION REGISTER

Revision Number	Date	Description	Recipient	Deliverables
1	04/03/2013	1201085GTRRpt01FinalV01_04Mar2013	Geo-Logix Pty Ltd	Electronic copy
1	04/03/2013	1201085GTRRpt01FinalV01_04Mar2013	Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd	Electronic copy

Issued by: Geo-Logix Pty Ltd

ABN: 86 116 892 936

EdiFLy

Edward Lilly BS Civil Engineering Geotechnical Engineer

Reviewed by David Gregory BSc (Hons), R.G., MEIANZ CEnvP#139 Principal Geologist



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Geo-Logix Pty Ltd (Geo-Logix) was engaged by Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd to conduct a geotechnical investigation of the site located at 5-7 Hilton Street and 7 Scott Road, South Tamworth, NSW.

Hydrox plans to develop the site as a Masters Home Improvement Store with two pad ready out parcels including surrounding car parks, two bridges spanning the onsite creek and stabilisation of the creek banks. The total development area encompasses 46,500m². At the time of the Geo-Logix investigation the site was largely vacant grassed rural blocks.

Geo-Logix completed a field investigation of the proposed site on 9-11 January 2013 consisting of fifteen borings to a maximum depth of 8.7 mbg at locations proposed by Geo-Logix and approved by Henry and Hymas the Structural Engineer. Soils encountered across the site included:

- The site surface was primarily grassed with a thin layer of topsoil;
- Underlying the surficial Topsoil layer, the natural stratigraphy of the western portion of the site comprised approximately 1-2m of dark yellowish orange stiff to very stiff residual CLAY underlain by Shale bedrock;
- In the eastern portion of the site the residual soil is overlain by brownish grey stiff to very stiff alluvial CLAY increasing in depth towards the east; and
- Areas of Fill are located across the site; these are delineated on Figure 4. The deepest Fill is located on Lot C3. The onsite Fill appeared to be uncontrolled, significant debris was particularly evident in the Lot C3 Fill.

The results of the investigation indicate the following geotechnical conditions:

- For temporary excavations, batters should be 2H:1V for alluvial soils, 1H:1.5V for residual soils and 1H:1V for fill soils;
- For permanent batters along the creek banks 4H:1V is recommended. Steeper batters may be achieved using geotextile stabilisation, gabions, or other stabilisation techniques;
- Due to the extent of Fill onsite, the site classification in accordance with AS 2870-2011 is 'P'. Following earthworks, the fill and natural soil profile is expected to be equivalent to a Class 'M', with a characteristic free surface movement (y_s) of 20-40mm with changes in moisture;
- The recommended Design CBR for pavements and slabs is 5%;
- For the purpose of buildings, structures may be founded on shallow foundations with an allowable bearing capacity of 100kPa;
- Concrete structures in contact with natural soils should be designed based on moderately aggressive B1 exposure. Steel structures in contact with natural soils should be designed based on severely aggressive B2 exposure;
- Concrete structures in contact with fill soils should be designed based on severely
 aggressive B2 exposure. Steel structures in contact with fill soils should be designed
 based very severely aggressive C2 exposure; and
- The recommended earthquake design class for the site is C_e.



This report is based on limited information on the soil profile and soil moisture conditions at selected locations at the time of the investigation. Subsurface conditions may vary elsewhere across the site.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Proposed Development1
1.2 Objectives and Scope of Work1
2. SITE INFORMATION
2.1 Site Identification and Description2
2.2 Topography2
2.3 Regional Geology2
2.4 Regional Hydrology2
3. METHOD OF INVESTIGATION
3.1 Investigation Methods3
4. INVESTIGATION RESULTS
4.1 Subsurface Conditions
4.2 Groundwater4
4.3 Laboratory Results4
4.4 USCS Classification Testing4
4.5 Californian Bearing Ratio (CBR)4
4.6 Exposure Classification Tests5
5. DISCUSSION
5.1 Earthworks6
5.2 Reuse of Debris Laden Fill7
5.3 Waste Disposal7
5.4 Groundwater Inflow7
5.5 Excavation Induced Vibrations7
5.6 Temporary and Permanent Batter Slopes and Shoring9
5.7 Site Classification9
5.8 Pavement9
5.9 Foundations10



	5.10 Aggressivity/Exposure Classification	11
	5.11 Salinity Risk	11
	5.12 Earthquake Design	11
6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
7.	LIMITATIONS	12
8.	REFERENCES	13

FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Location Map Figure 2: Boring Location Map Figure 3: Areas of Filling

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Preliminary Development Plans Attachment B: Boring Logs Attachment C: Laboratory Reports



1. INTRODUCTION

Geo-Logix Pty Ltd (Geo-Logix) was engaged by Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd (Hydrox) to conduct a geotechnical investigation of the site located at 5-7 Hilton Street and 7 Scott Road, South Tamworth, NSW (Figure 1).

The objective of the geotechnical investigation is to assess the subsurface soil strata in order to provide soil parameters and engineering recommendations for site earthwork and construction.

1.1 Proposed Development

Based on plans provided by Henry Hymas (Attachment A), Hydrox plans to develop the site as a Masters Home Improvement Store with two pad ready out parcels including surrounding car parks, two bridges spanning the onsite creek and stabilisation of the creek banks. The total development area encompasses 46,500m².

1.2 Objectives and Scope of Work

The objective of the geotechnical investigation was to provide an assessment of subsurface conditions to assist with planning of the proposed development, including depth to groundwater if encountered.

To satisfy the above objectives Geo-Logix completed the following scope of work:

- Visual appraisal of the site conditions and locality;
- Review of the geological maps for the area;
- Drilling of fifteen borings (B1 to B15) at locations approved by the structural engineer for the project (Henry & Hymas Pty Ltd);
- The performance of Standard Penetrometer Tests (SPTs) at regular intervals in each boring location to assess the relative density and/or consistency of the subsurface soils;
- Logging of the borings by a geotechnical engineer;
- Collection of representative soil samples for selective geotechnical and chemical laboratory testing;
- Backfilling of the borings with on-site soils;
- Review of test pit logs recorded by Geo-Logix environmental investigations of this site; and
- Provision of this report detailing the results of the above investigation, recommendations for design and construction of the proposed development.

The field investigations were conducted in January 2013.



2. SITE INFORMATION

2.1 Site Identification and Description

The investigation area comprises the following properties:

Street Address	Lot and Deposited Plan (DP)	Report Reference	Approximate Area (m ²)
5 Hilton Street, South Tamworth NSW 2340	Part Lot C3 DP 160164	Lot C3	
7 Hilton Street, South Tamworth NSW 2340	Part Lot 1 DP 196665	Northern Lot	46,500
7 Scott Road, South Tamworth NSW 2340	Part Lot 1 DP 797999	Southern Lot	

Notes

Property information sourced from Title Deed information and Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd.

The site is located within a rural/residential area of Tamworth, is largely rectangular shaped and occupies an area of approximately 46,500m². At the time of Geo-Logix investigation the site was largely vacant grassed rural blocks (Figure 2).

2.2 Topography

The western site slopes moderately towards the east-northeast. The eastern portion of the site lies in a flood plain and is generally level in elevation. Regional topography slopes gently to the north.

2.3 Regional Geology

Review of the NSW 1:250,000 Tamworth Geological Map (Geological Survey of NSW, 1971) indicates:

- The western portion of the site is underlain by Upper Devonian age argillite and greywacke of the Baldwin Formation; and
- The eastern portion of the site is underlain by Quaternary age alluvium comprising clay, silt, sand and gravel.

2.4 Regional Hydrology

It is expected that groundwater would follow the natural regional topography and generally flow to the east – northeast.

Reference to the NSW Natural Resource Atlas (NSW Government, 2012) indicates there are 18 registered groundwater bores within a 500m radius of the site. The closest registered bore GW969381 is privately owned and located on a property south of the site, directly across Scott Road. The bore logs recorded water bearing zones were encountered between 12m and 18m. The lithology was logged a 0-0.3m brown topsoil overlying 0.3-18m brown heavily broken shale.

A group of monitoring bores (GW969607, GW969608 and GW969609) are located on a Shell Service Station approximately 280m upgradient southwest of the site. Bore logs indicate water bearing zones were encountered between 11m and 13.5m. Lithology was logged as 0-0.8m fill, overlying 0.8-10.5m light brown dry sandstone, 10.50m – 11.50m fractured sandstone and 11.5-13.5m water-bearing fractured sandstone.



3. METHOD OF INVESTIGATION

Fieldwork was undertaken on 18 January 2013 by Edward Lilly, an experienced geotechnical engineer, and comprised:

- Drilling and logging of fifteen borings (B1 to B15) across the site, using a ute mounted drill rig;
- The performance of Standard Penetrometer Tests (SPTs) at regular intervals in each boring location to assess the relative density and/or consistency of the subsurface soils;
- Collection of soil samples for selective geotechnical and chemical laboratory testing to assist with soil classification and assessment; and
- Backfilling of the borings at the conclusion of the sampling and logging.

3.1 Investigation Methods

Prior to commencement of the borings each location was scanned for underground services and utilities by an independent utility locator and cross-checked with the results of a Dial Before You Dig (DBYD) search.

Borings were completed using a ute mounted drill rig and positioned at locations approved by the structural engineer for the project (Henry & Hymas Pty Ltd). The borings generally provide systematic site coverage and were drilled to a maximum depth of 8.7 mbg.

During drilling the encountered Fill material and natural soils were logged in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Representative samples of soil were submitted to SGS Australia Pty Ltd (SGS) for selective characterisation tests and chemical tests.

The location of each boring was estimated using measurements from existing features as depicted in Figure 2. A copy of the boring logs and SPT results are provided in Attachment B.

4. INVESTIGATION RESULTS

4.1 Subsurface Conditions

The site surface was primarily grassed with a thin layer of topsoil. The natural stratigraphy of the western portion of the site comprised approximately 1-2m of stiff to very stiff Sandy CLAY underlain by Shale bedrock. The site slopes down to a flood plain in the eastern portion of the site. The alluvial soils of the flood plain primarily comprised stiff Heavy CLAY, the depth of the alluvium increases further eastwards on the site. Boring B15, in the northeast corner of the site, contained Clayey GRAVEL alluvium underlying the upper CLAY alluvium.

Areas of Fill are located across the site; these are delineated on Figure 3. The deepest Fill is located on Lot C3. The onsite Fill appeared to be uncontrolled, significant debris was particularly evident in Fill on Lot C3.

Detailed descriptions of each boring are contained within the attached boring logs (Attachment B).



4.2 Groundwater

During the geotechnical investigation groundwater was encountered at 5.8 mbg at boring location B15 in the northeast corner of the site. Groundwater was not encountered within the maximum depth of exploration elsewhere across the site.

4.3 Laboratory Results

Representative samples of soil were collected during the fieldwork and submitted to SGS for NATA accredited testing. Tests included:

- Atterberg Limit and Linear Shrinkage tests to assess the plasticity and reactivity of a specific soil sample to assist with classification and description;
- California Bearing Ratio (CBR) testing to assist with pavement design; and
- Electrical conductivity, sulphate, chloride and pH testing to assess the exposure classification of the soil with respect to buried structural concrete and/or exposed steel.

The laboratory test results are presented in Attachment C. A summary of the results is provided in the following sections.

4.4 USCS Classification Testing

Three samples –B4/1.2, B7/0.0 and B13/1.3 – were submitted to SGS for NATA accredited Atterberg Limit and Linear Shrinkage tests. The samples were selected to confirm the field classification of natural soils across the site. Linear Shrinkage tests were completed in order to calculate the free surface movement of the on-site soils for site classification in accordance with AS2870-2011. A summary of the results is provided below.

Location/ Depth (m)	Sample Description	Liquid Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Linear Shrinkage (%)
B4/1.2	Dark yellowish orange Clayey SAND with Gravel	49	30	13.5
B7/0.0	Dark yellowish orange Clayey SAND with Gravel	61	38	15.0
B13/1.3	Greyish brown Heavy CLAY	73	55	15.0

The Atterberg Limit test results indicate whether the fine grained component of the samples is classified as Silt or Clay as well as the reactivity of the material. The Linear Shrinkage results indicate the soil potential for movement with changes in moisture content. The potential for surface movement with changes in moisture is discussed in Section 5.7.

4.5 Californian Bearing Ratio (CBR)

Bulk samples from locations B5, B7, B8, B10, B11 and B12 were selected in order to generate a site-wide understanding of CBR values for use in pavement design. These samples were submitted to SGS for NATA accredited testing of the CBR. These samples are representative samples of the soil which are expected to serve as subgrades for pavement and floor slabs.



The CBR samples were remoulded in the laboratory and compacted to 100% standard maximum dry density at optimum moisture content. Prior to testing, the samples were soaked for three to four days under a surcharge load of 4.5kg. The soaked CBR values are provided in the following table.

Location/ Depth (m)	Sample Description	CBR Value
B5/0.0	Dark yellowish brown Clayey SAND with Gravel	5.0
B7/0.0	Dark yellowish orange Clayey SAND with Gravel	8.0
B8/0.0	Moderate brown Silty SAND with Gravel	6.0
B10/0.0	Yellowish grey Clayey SAND with Gravel	8.0
B11/0.0	Pale yellowish brown Sandy CLAY	7.0
B12/0.0	Pale yellowish brown Sandy CLAY	5.0

Pavement design based on these CBR results is discussed in Section 5.8.

4.6 Exposure Classification Tests

Four soil samples – B2/1.2, B8/2.7, B12/1.3 and B14/4.3 – were submitted to SGS for NATA accredited testing of pH, sulphate, chloride and electrical conductivity to determine the exposure classification (or aggressiveness/corrosiveness potential of the soil) with respect to buried steel and/or concrete. The samples were selected as representative soil samples in which shallow foundations are expected.

To determine the aggressiveness of the soil and water environment on concrete or steel, the chemical test results are compared to Tables 6.1 and 6.3 from Section 6 of the Australian Standard AS2159 – 2009. This section provides assessment criteria to assess the 'exposure classification' for a concrete or steel pile. The Standard has two classes of soil conditions:

- (A) high permeability soils below groundwater; and
- (B) low permeability soils and all soils above groundwater.

Based on the chemical testing results, the Standard provides a range of 'exposure classifications' from non-aggressive to very severe. For the range of chemical conditions in the soil surrounding the structure, the condition leading to the most severe aggressive conditions is adopted. A summary of the soil results is provided in the following table.

Location/ Depth (m)	Soil Condition	Electrical Conductivity (EC) dS/m	Soil Texture Factor	Extract Electrical Conductivity (ECe) dS/m	Electrical Resistivity Ω·cm	рН	Chloride mg/kg	Sulphate mg/kg
B2/1.2	В	1.700	9	15.3	588	6.7	25	4400
B8/2.7	В	0.510	9	4.59	1,960	9.9	68	190
B12/1.3	В	0.880	7	6.16	1,136	9.3	200	760
B14/4.3	В	0.160	9	1.44	6,250	8.8	63	71



The potential aggressivity of an environment towards concrete and steel is dependent on the sulphate, chloride and pH levels of the soil. Soil aggressivity is discussed in Section 5.10. Site Salinity is discussed in Section 5.11.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Earthworks

The subject site should be prepared in accordance with AS3798-2009 Section 6.1 and filled in accordance with AS3798-2009 Section 6.2.

Initially topsoil and other surface features such as pavements should be stripped from the site, including an area extending at least 1.5m laterally beyond any planned structures or improvements. Utilities should be located and rerouted as necessary and any abandoned pipes or utility conduits should be removed or filled with grout. Utility trench excavations must be cut to competent bearing soils and backfilled with properly compacted Structural Fill.

Where the above site preparation procedures create excavations below the proposed final grade, the excavations should be backfilled with properly compacted Structural Fill. Under no circumstances should topsoil or other organic-laden soils be placed as Fill beneath or within 1.5 horizontal metres of building, car park, or other structural areas.

Once final grade is reached in cut areas, and prior to Fill placement in areas of the site that will receive new Fill, the subgrade should be evaluated by a geotechnical engineer or their representative. Following subgrade evaluation the exposed subgrade should be test-rolled in accordance with AS3798-2009.

The Clayey soils currently comprising the site surface, particularly in the eastern portion of the site, are very moisture sensitive and will degrade rapidly under construction traffic particularly when wet. Geo-Logix recommends providing positive drainage to the site in order to prevent localised ponding of water, especially where these soils have been exposed. Test rolling of wet surficial soils is likely to cause pumping, and unsuitable soil conditions may require over-excavation and replacement with suitable soils to achieve compaction.

Materials selected for use as Structural Fill should not contain more than three per cent by weight of organic matter, waste construction debris, or deleterious materials. Fill materials should have a Standard Maximum Dry Density (AS1289.5.1.1-2003) greater than 1.5 t/m³, and be granular material or be of low or medium plasticity. The residual Clay SAND and Sandy CLAY soils and Shale may be used as Structural Fill. The alluvial greyish brown CLAY found in the eastern portion of the site is not expected to meet these criteria; its reuse in structural areas should be avoided. Clean onsite Fill meets the above criteria may be used as Structural Fill. Debris laden onsite Fill, such as that found in lot C3, may be reused only in accordance with Section 5.2.

Prior to Fill placement the native soil should be densified with a 10 tonne roller. Fill materials should be placed in individual lifts of 300mm or less loose measurement and compacted using a sheep's foot roller for cohesive soils and a smooth drum roller for cohesionless soils. Fill should be compacted to a minimum of 98% of standard compaction with a moisture ratio of $\pm 2\%$ of the optimum moisture content.

Test rolling and Fill placement is to be undertaken under Level 1 Supervision.



5.2 Reuse of Debris Laden Fill

Lot C3 contains Uncontrolled Fill to depths of up to 4 metres below grade (mbg). In its current state the Fill in this area is unsuitable for use geotechnically, either in situ beneath the proposed Masters Store and car park or as Structural Fill; however it is Geo-Logix' opinion that much of this Fill can be made suitable. The following recommendations are made for the reuse of this Fill onsite:

- Consideration of special requirements for handling/sieving asbestos containing material spraying, air monitoring, PPE;
- A white "gyprock" material was found within the fill often in a layer about 200mm thick; where a layer of gyprock is encountered this material should be stockpiled separately for offsite disposal. Incidental gyprock is expected to be present in quantities below the 3% threshold of acceptable deleterious material;
- Debris larger than 75mm within the Fill should be sieved out and stockpiled separately for offsite disposal;
- After sieving Fill from this area should be used in non-structural or carpark areas away from proposed building foundations. In carpark areas the Fill should be placed 1.5m beneath finished subgrade;
- Additionally, the Fill should be placed in a single lift less than 300mm height prior to compaction;
- Following Fill placement, and prior to compaction the Fill should be "hen pecked" for debris or other deleterious material; and
- A competent geotechnical engineer should be present during stockpiling, sieving, and placement of Fill from Lot C3.

5.3 Waste Disposal

Any soil removed from site will require waste classification in accordance with the Department of Environment and Climate Change Waste classification guidelines – Part 1 classifying waste, revision 2009.

5.4 Groundwater Inflow

Groundwater was encountered only in B15 at 5.8 mbg. Significant groundwater inflow is not expected in cut areas of the site. If perched water is encountered during excavation it can be managed by sump pump.

5.5 Excavation Induced Vibrations

If percussive excavation equipment (e.g. rock hammer) is used consideration must be given to possible construction induced ground vibration. Construction induced ground vibration is unlikely to be an issue at the site unless heavy impact tools are required for excavation. The use of other techniques which do not involve impact (e.g. rock saws), although less productive, would reduce or possibly eliminate risks of damage due to vibrations.



If adopting a rock hammer or similar, on-site guidance by a vibration specialist is recommended during the early part of excavation. This should include vibration characterisation trials which are used to define vibration levels for the selected equipment.

Peak Particle Velocity (PPV) is usually the adopted measure of ground vibration and the safe limits depend on the sensitivity of the adjoining structures and services. There are a number of Australian and overseas publications which provide vibration velocity guideline levels (or safe limits) including:

- Australian Standard AS2187.2-2006 Explosives Storage and use Use of explosives -Appendix J: Ground Vibrations and Airblast Overpressure;
- DIN 4150 Part 3 1999. Effects if Vibration on Structures;
- Department of Environment and Conservation NSW, 2006. Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline;
- British Standard BS 7385-1:1990. Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings. Guide for measurement of vibrations and evaluation of their effects on buildings;
- British Standard BS 7385-2:1993. Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings. Guide to damage levels from groundborne vibration.

The most appropriate guidelines levels for the proposed excavation work are provided in AS2187.2-2006, which refers to guideline values from BS7385-2 for the prevention of minor or cosmetic damage occurring in structures from ground vibration. Additionally, the guideline levels provided in DIN 4150 Part 3 is considered an appropriate source for guideline levels.

Ideally, safe limits should be determined by a specialist vibration consultant. However, as a preliminary guide, and considering the above guidelines and the type of adjoining structures present, Cottier recommend a maximum PPV of 10mm/sec (measured at the foundations of adjoining structures) to prevent cosmetic and structural damage.

The PPV limits of 10mm/sec are expected to be achievable if rock breaker equipment or other excavation methods are restricted as indicated in the following table:

Distance from	Maximum Peak Particle Velocity 10mm/sec*					
Adjoining structure (m)	Equipment	Operating Limit (% of Maximum Capacity)				
1.5 to 2.5	300 kg rock hammer	50				
2.5 to 5.0	300 kg rock hammer or	100				
	600 kg rock hammer	50				
5.0 to 10.0	600 kg rock hammer Or	100				
	900 kg rock hammer	50				

Geo-Logix notes human discomfort levels caused by vibration are typically less than the levels that are likely to cause cosmetic or structural damage to structures. Therefore complaints may be lodged by neighbours before any cosmetic or structural damage occurs.



Vibration monitoring is not considered to be necessary so long as only non-percussive excavation techniques are used and no complaints are lodged.

5.6 Temporary and Permanent Batter Slopes and Shoring

Any temporary excavations must be designed and constructed in a stable manner. The sides of the excavation should be shored or battered so as to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. Assuming that excavations are undertaken prior to any other construction works and provided all surcharge loads, including plant and stockpiled material are kept well clear of the top of the batters, minimum temporary batter slopes are recommended as 1H:1.5V for excavations of native soils and 1H:1V for excavations of fill soils.

For permanent batters along the creek banks 4H:1V is recommended. Steeper batters may be achieved using geotextile stabilisation, gabions, or other stabilisation techniques.

The following earth pressure coefficients are recommended for use in design of temporary and permanent retaining structures.

Retained Material	Bulk Density (kN/m³)	K ₀	K _a
Fill	18	0.60	0.45
Clayey Alluvium	20	0.60	0.45
Clayey Residuum	20	0.55	0.40
Weathered Shale	22	0.25	0.10

The contractor is solely responsible for temporary excavation design and should evaluate the soil exposed in the excavations as part of the contractor's safety procedures. In no case should slope height, slope inclination, or excavation depth, including utility trench depth, exceed those specified in local, state, and national safety regulations.

5.7 Site Classification

Considering that the proposed depth of controlled fill to be placed beneath the proposed structures, the appropriate geotechnical site classification is Class 'P' in accordance with AS2870-2011.

Free surface movement of the site has been calculated based on the results of Linear Shrinkage testing (Cameron, 1989). The natural soil profile is equivalent to a Class 'M', with a characteristic free surface movement (y_s) of 20-40mm with changes in moisture (AS2870-2011).

Geo-Logix notes that this site classification has not included the effects of trees, poor site drainage, leaking plumbing, and exceptional moisture.

5.8 Pavement

Pavement designs are based on the CBR and modulus of the subgrade materials encountered after any excavation or re-grading has taken place. The principal aim of the subgrade preparation is to provide a



uniform foundation over the entire pavement formation which will not give rise to unevenness in the pavement surface under the design loads. The final subgrade below pavements following the earthworks recommended in Section 5.1 should comprise native soils or controlled Fill. Both are considered to be suitable as a subgrade provided the material performs satisfactorily under test-rolling as detailed in AS3798-2007. In pavement areas the native soils and existing Fills should be densified with a 10 tonne roller prior to Fill or Aggregate Base Course placement.

Provided the final subgrade performs under test-rolling and is compacted to at least 98% standard compaction, the pavements and ground floor slabs may be designed based on a CBR of 7%.

5.9 Foundations

Geo-Logix recommends that footings for the proposed new Masters Home Improvement Store and outparcel structures be founded on consistent mediums to minimise any potential differential settlements. However, depending on the building loads and whether the structures are designed to be relatively flexible, this may not be significant.

Masters Home Improvement Store

Beneath the Masters Home Improvement Store, the ground conditions following the proposed earthworks are expected to comprise both new Fill and residual soils. The existing Fill is not considered a suitable founding medium.

Provided all new Fill is placed in a controlled manner as advised above, then this layer would be capable of supporting shallow footings which may be designed based on an allowable bearing capacity of 100kPa.

Alternatively, for higher bearing capacity and to ensure a consistent founding medium, bored piles may be adopted. It is recommended that the piles be founded within the underlying shale layer (the minimum recommended embedment depth is 3 mbg) and may be designed based on a serviceability end-bearing pressure of 700kPa and allowable shaft adhesion of 50kPa.

Outparcel Structures

Beneath the out parcel structures, the ground conditions following the proposed earthworks will comprise newly placed Fill overlying alluvial clay.

Provided the upper fill layer is placed in a controlled manner, then this layer would be capable of supporting shallow footings which may be designed based on an allowable bearing capacity of 100kPa.

Bridge Structures

The recommended foundation type for the bridge structures is bored piles may be adopted. It is recommended that the piles be founded within the residual soil layer (the minimum recommended embedment depth is 3 mbg) and may be designed based on a serviceability end-bearing pressure of 400kPa and allowable shaft adhesion of 20kPa.

All Foundation systems should be designed and constructed in accordance with the recommendations contained in AS2870-2011 and AS2159-2009 by a suitably qualified and experienced structural engineer.



5.10 Aggressivity/Exposure Classification

Based on the preliminary exposure classification test results (Section 4.5), and in accordance with AS3600-2009, concrete structures in contact with natural soils should be designed based on moderately aggressive B1 exposure. Steel structures in contact with natural soils should be designed based on severely aggressive B2 exposure. Concrete structures in contact with fill soils should be designed based on severely aggressive B2 exposure. Steel structures in contact with fill soils should be designed based very severely aggressive C2 exposure.

5.11 Salinity Risk

Soil salinity risk is based on extract electrical conductivity (ECe), the product of electrical conductivity of soil measured in solution and a multiplication factor based on soil texture. Based on the range of soil electrical conductivities between 0.160 and 1.700 dS/m encountered and multiplication factors of 7 and 9 for Medium Clay and Clay Loam, the site soils have extract electrical conductivities between 1.44 and 15.3 dS/m. These results indicate that much of the onsite soil is saline (ECe>4) (Department of Land and Water Conservation NSW, 2002). Management strategies for saline soils include minimising disturbance of saline soils (e.g. cut and fill); prevention of infiltration (proper grading during construction and use of non-permeable pavements), and the use of salt tolerant landscaping vegetation.

5.12 Earthquake Design

Structural design for earthquake loads should be carried out in accordance with the relevant provisions in AS1170.4–2007. At this preliminary stage, based on the subsurface soil profile encountered during this investigation, and with reference to Table 4.1 of AS1170.4, the site subsoil class is considered to be C_e .

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the investigation, Geo-Logix considers there to be no significant geotechnical constraint which would prevent construction of the proposed development as described in preliminary plans provided in Attachment A. However, the following conditions require consideration during construction of the proposed development:

- Deep Uncontrolled Fill on Lot C3;
- Excavation of Shale bedrock; and
- Varying bearing capacities of newly placed fill, alluvium, residuum, and rock.

These conditions may be addressed by the following measures:

- Treatment of the uncontrolled fill in accordance with Section 5.2;
- The Shale bedrock is generally expected to be rippable, assistance of a rock hammer may be required; and
- Founding of each structure on a consistent medium or allowing for differential bearing capacity across the structure.



7. LIMITATIONS

The recommendations submitted are based on the available project information and the subsurface information obtained by Geo-Logix. If there are any revisions to the plans for this project or if deviations from the subsurface conditions noted in this report are encountered during construction, Geo-Logix should be notified immediately to determine if there are consequences to the recommendations provided in this report. If Geo-Logix is not retained to perform these functions, Geo-Logix cannot be responsible for the impact of those conditions on the performance of the project.

The geotechnical engineer warrants that the findings, recommendations, specifications, or professional advice contained herein have been made in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical engineering practices in the local area at the time of this report. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

After the plans and specifications are more complete the geotechnical engineer should be provided with the opportunity to review the final design plans and specifications to assess whether our engineering recommendations have been properly incorporated into the design documents. At that time, it may be necessary to submit supplementary recommendations. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd and their consultants for the specific application to the proposed construction of the proposed Masters Home Improvement Store at 5-7 Hilton Street and 7 Scott Road, South Tamworth, NSW.



8. REFERENCES

Australian Standard (2007) AS1170.4-2007 *Structural design actions – Earthquake actions in Australia*, Standards Australia.

Australian Standard (2009) AS2159-2009 Piling Design and Installation, Standards Australia.

Australian Standard (2011) AS2870-2011 Residential slabs and footings, Standards Australia.

Australian Standard (2009) AS3600-2009 Concrete Structures, Standards Australia.

Australian Standard (2007) AS3798-2007 *Guidelines on earthworks for commercial and residential developments,* Standards Australia.

Australian Standard (2006) AS2187.2-2006 *Explosives - Storage and use - Use of explosives - Appendix J: Ground Vibrations and Airblast Overpressure,* Standards Australia.

British Standard (1990) BS 7385-1:1990 *Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings. Guide for measurement of vibrations and evaluation of their effects on buildings*, British Standards Institution.

British Standard (1993) BS 7385-2:1993 *Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings. Guide to damage levels from groundborne vibration*, British Standards Institution.

Cameron, D. A. (1989) *Tests for reactivity and prediction of ground movement*, I. E. Aust. Civ. Eng. Trans., 31(3), 121-132.

Department of Environment and Climate Change (2009) *Waste classification guidelines – Part 1 classifying waste*, revision December 2009.

Department of Environment and Conservation NSW (2006) Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline.

Department of Land and Water Conservation NSW (2002) Site Investigations for Urban Salinity.

German Standard (1999) DIN 4150 – Part 3 – 1999 *Effects of Vibration on Structures,* Deutsches Institut für Normung.

Geo-Logix (2012) *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, 5-7 Hilton Street and 7 Scott Road, South Tamworth NSW.* Reference 1201085Rpt02FinalV01_11Feb13.

Geological Survey of NSW (1971) *Tamworth 1:250,000 Sheet SH 56 13*, New South Wales Department of Mines, Sydney NSW.

NSW Government (2011) NSW Natural Resource Atlas website, http://www.nratlas.nsw.gov.au. Accessed 25/07/2011.

Pells et al (1998) *Foundations on Sandstone and Shale in the Sydney Region*, Australian Geomechanics Society, 1998.

FIGURES





PART MAP NSW

PART MAP SOUTH TAMWORTH

					COPYRIGHT This Figure can only be used, reproduced or published (whether in whole or in part) for the sole purpose of work associated with the Geotechnical Investigation Report 5 - 7 Hilton Street & 7 Scott Road STH TAMWORTH NSW 2340 and any such use, reproduction or	GEO-LOGIX PTY LTD UNIT 2309, 4 DAYDREAM STREET, WARRIEWOOD NSW 22102 Ph: (02) 9979 1722	DRAWN: CHECKED: M G T L APPROVED: D G	G	_	NVEST	ON MAP TIGATION REPORT STH TAMWORTH NSW 2340
ISSUE	DATE	AMENDMENTS	DRAWN	CHECKED	publication must acknowledge Geo-Logix as the author of the Figure.	Fax: (02) 9979 1222	DATE: 05/02/2013	SHEET SIZE: A4	PROJECT NO: 1201085	rev: 01	FIGURE 1





ATTACHMENT A





- '	
	This drawing and design remains the property of Henry & Hymas and may no
	copied in whole or in part without the prior written approval of Henry & Hym

mstimova, AutoPDF



TE AREA:	=	47,400 SQM	
ARDWARE GFA:	=	13,738 SQM	
NANCY GFA	=	1690 SQM	
TE COVERAGE	=	32.5%	
ARSPACES (MASTERS)	=	369 (1:37)	
ARSPACES (TENANTS)	=	70 (1:24)	



ATTACHMENT B

														Boreh	ole Log
		EEC_LOGIX Evisonetal Cossitents				Bu Un Ph	ilding it 230 : (02)	.ogix Pty Ltd Q2, Level 3)9 / 4 Daydream Street, Warrie 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 to-logix.com.au		Pi H	Hole ID. Project Number: Hole Depth: Sheet:			B1 1201085 2.10 m 1 of 1	
_	Lo Cli Co	oject catio ent: ntrac	Nan n / S ctor:	ne: Site:	Tamwor	on S Non y Lt	t & nine d	7 Sc æs P	ott Rd, South Tamworth NS 'ty Ltd (Ute Mounted)	SW	D Le Ei	Date Started: Date Completed: Level: Easting: Northing:			
Method	Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Descri	ption	Moisture	Tests SPT	(Observations / Comm	ents
7 PM Solid Stem Anner		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	D	Z	B1 / 1.2 B1 / 2.1	Residuum Fill	sc		FILL - Clayey Gravelly SAND yellowish brown (10YR 5/4), sand, 30% gravel, medium d Clayey SAND - moderate yel (10YR 5/4), 40% clay, 60% s Refusal at 2.10 m	30% clay, 40% ense.	damp	30/20 for 70mm			
TAMWORTH 1201085_BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ GL.GDT 26/2/13 2:25:57 PM	Hydro	2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0	n Od				Add	litiona	on shale.			<u>50mm</u> /			
~ 10	H I L I Z Z Samp D I	ocarbo High Modera Low Zero Dile Typ Disturb Jndistu	ite De ed		Log Dra	wn F	Dor	nut ha	al Comments Immer used for SPT's. White	Logged By:	Ted Lilly			ate: 9/01/2013	
	ĸ	-U	-14	Al			-		white@reumad.com.au	Checked By:	Ted Lilly			ate: 26/02/2013	

C- ALRAR G	Log Drawn By:	Laurie White	Logged By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	9/01/2013
	Contact:	laurie.white@reumad.com.au	Checked By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	26/02/2013

Borehole Log

						Bui Uni Ph:	lding it 230 : (02)	ogix Pty Ltd Q2, Level 3 9 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222 o-logix.com.au	H P H S	B2 120108 3.60 m 1 of		
F	rojec	t Nar	ne:	Tamwor					D	ate Sta		
	ocatio	on / S	Site:					ott Rd, South Tamworth NSW			mpleted: 9/01/2013	
C	Client: Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd				L	evel:						
C	contra	ctor:		Aimil Pt	y Lt	d			Easting:			
Ν	1etho	d:		Solid St	em	Aug	er	(Ute Mounted)	N	orthing		
	i)	Type		۵	Type	Symbol	Log	Material Description		Tests	Observations / Com	monto
Method	Water Level Depth (m)	Sample ⁻	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material	is sosn	Graphic	watenar Description	Moisture	SPT	Observations / Con	ments
\square	-						\bigotimes	FILL - Clayey SAND, moderate yellowish brown	damp			

1 - 10 - 10	Method	Water Level Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Svmbo	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	SPT	Observations / Comments
	Solid Stem Auger		D	z	B2 / 1.2	Eil			FILL - Clayey SAND, moderate yellowish brown (10YR 5/4), 30% clay, 70% sand, medium dense, brick rubble.	damp	8/7/5 N=12	
GPJ GL.GDT 26/2/13 2:26:10 PM		- 2.30 - 2.5 - - 3.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	D	Z	B2 / 2.7	Residuum	СІ		FILL - SAND with Clay, moderate brown (5YR 3/4), 20% clay, 70% sand, dense, tile pieces. Gravelly CLAY with Sand - dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6), 50% clay, 20% sand, 30% gravel, hard, medium plasticity.	damp	- 19/17/17 N=34	
			D	Z	B2 / 3.6	T			Refusal at 3.60 m		5 bounc'g	
3 2 TAMWORTH 1201085_BOREHC	Hydrocarbon Odour Additional Comments H High M Moderate L Low Z Zero Sample Type Disturbed U Undisturbed											
TAMWORTH 120106	Hydrocarbon Odour Additional Comments High Moderate L Low Donut hammer used for SPT's. Z Zero Sample Type D Disturbed Disturbed Undisturbed Log Drawn By: Laurie White Contact: laurie.white@reumad.com.au Logged By: Ted Lilly Date: 9/01/2013 Checked By: Ted Lilly Date: 26/02/2013											

Borehole Log

		I		5			Bı Ur	uilding nit 230	Logix Pty Ltd Q2, Level 3 09 / 4 Daydream Street, Warrie 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979			Pr	ole ID oject N ole Dep	umber:		B3 1201085 1.60 m
				GEC	ntal Consultants				eo-logix.com.au			Sh	neet:			1 of 1
	Loc Clie Cor	ject atio ent: ntrac thod	n / S ctor:	Site:	Tamwor 5-7 Hilto Hydrox Aimil Pt Solid St	on S Nor y Lt	it & nin id	7 Sc ees P	ott Rd, South Tamworth NS 'ty Ltd (Ute Mounted)	W		Da Le Ea	ate Star ate Con evel: asting: orthing:	npleted:	9/01/2013 9/01/2013 	
Method	Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Descri	ption		Moisture	Tests SPT	(Observations / Com	ments
Solid Stem Auger		0.05 - 0.2 - 0.4 - 0.6 - 0.8 - 1.0 - 1.2 - 1.2 - 1.4 - 1.4 - 1.6	D	Z	B3 / 1.2	Residuum	CL			ard, medium	da	amp	30 bounc'g			
TH 1201085_ N ⊓ ⊠ H	H N Li Z ampl D	- 1.8 - 2.0 - 2.2 - 2.4 - 2.6 - 2.6 - 2.6 - 2.6 - 3.0 - 2.8 - 3.0 - 2.8 - 3.0 - 2.8 - 3.0 - 2.8 - 3.0 - 2.5 - 2.5	te De ed	our					Refusal at 1.60 m							
0		Ų	-	A	Log Dra		-		White white@reumad.com.au	Logged By: Checked By:	Ted Lill Ted Lill				ate: 9/01/2013 ate: 26/02/2013	}

														Borehole Log
							Bu Ur Ph	uilding nit 230 n: (02)	.ogix Pty Ltd Q2, Level 3)9 / 4 Daydream Street, Warrie 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 o-logix.com.au		Pr Ho	ole ID. oject N ole Dep neet:	umber:	B4 1201085 1.90 m 1 of 1
	Proj Loca Clier Con Metl	atio nt: trac	n / S tor:		Tamwoi 5-7 Hilto Hydrox Aimil Pt Solid St	on S Nor y Lt	at & nina d	7 Sc ees P	ott Rd, South Tamworth NS ty Ltd (Ute Mounted)	SW	Da Le Ea	ate Star ate Con evel: asting: orthing:	ted: npleted:	9/01/2013 9/01/2013
Method	Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Descri	ption	Moisture	Tests SPT	(Observations / Comments
		0.2				Fill			FILL - Clayey SAND, dark ye (10YR 6/6), 40% clay, 60% s	llowish orange and.	dry			
Solid Stem Auger		0.50 0.6 0.8 0.8					CL		Sandy CLAY - dark yellowish 6/6), 60% clay, 40% sand, ve	orange (10YR ery stiff.	damp			
Solid S		1.2	D	Z	B4 / 1.2	Residuum	sc	101°0°°°	Clayey SAND with Gravel - d orange (10YR 6/6), 30% clay gravel, very dense.	ark yellowish 9, 45% sand, 25%	damp	10/22/40		
		1.6	D	z	B4 / 1.9				Refusal at 1.90 m			30 for		
		2.2							on weathered shale.					
'н	ydroc	gh		Dur					al Comments					
	Lơ Ze ample Di: Ur	ero e Typ sturbe ndistu	e ed rbed		Log Dra	awn F			mmer used for SPT's.	Logged By:	Ted Lilly			ate: 9/01/2013
ť	E	V	V	Æ	-		-		white@reumad.com.au	Checked By:	Ted Lilly			ate: 26/02/2013

Log Drawn By:	Laurie White	Logged By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	9/01/2013
Contact:	laurie.white@reumad.com.au	Checked By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	26/02/2013

												Boreho	ole Log
					Bu Un Ph	ilding iit 230 : (02)	Ogix Pty Ltd Q2, Level 3)9 / 4 Daydream Street, Warrie 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 to-logix.com.au			Hole ID Project N Hole Dej Sheet:	Number:		B5 1201085 4.50 m 1 of 1
Project Locatio Client: Contra Methoo	on / s	me: Site:	Tamwor	on S Nor y Lt	at & nine d	7 Sc es P	ott Rd, South Tamworth NS 'ty Ltd (Ute Mounted)	\$W		Date Sta Date Co Level: Easting: Northing	mpleted:	9/01/2013 9/01/2013 	
Method Water Level Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Descri	ption	Moisture	Tests	-	Observations / Comme	nts
	D	Z	B5 / 0.0		sc		SAND with Clay - dark yellow 4/2), 25% clay, 60% sand, 19 dense.	/ish brown (10YR 5% gravel, mediur	dry n	5/10/11 N=21			
1.0	D	z	B5 / 1.2				Sandy CLAY - 60% clay, 35% very stiff.	6 sand, 5% gravel	l, dam;	6/11/18 N=29			
Solid Stem Auger		z	B5 / 2.7	Residuum	CL					8/14/26 N=40			
4.0	D	z	B5 / 4.2				Refusal at 4.50 m		mois	27/30 for 80mm			
Hydrocarb H High M Moder L Low Z Zero Sample Ty D Disturl U Undist	ate rpe bed	lour					al Comments ammer used for SPT's.						
REO	J	A	Log Dra		-		White white@reumad.com.au	Logged By: Checked By:	Ted Lilly Ted Lilly			ate: 9/01/2013 ate: 26/02/2013	

Log Drawn By:	Laurie White	Logged By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	9/01/2013
Contact:	laurie.white@reumad.com.au	Checked By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	26/02/2013

Geo-Logix Pty Ltd Hole ID. Building Q2, Level 3 Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102 Ph: (02) 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222 Hole Depth: Www.geo-logix.com.au Sheet: Project Name: Tamworth 1201085 Location / Site: 5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW Oir rate Unit 2000 Date Completed:	B6
Location / Site: 5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW Date Completed: 9/01/20	1201085 2.70 m 1 of 1
Client: Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd Level: Contractor: Aimil Pty Ltd Easting: Method: Solid Stem Auger (Ute Mounted) Northing:	
Method Material Description Tests Material Description Sample Type Naterial Type Material Description Sample Type Sample Type	is / Comments
Image of the second state of the second sta	

Hydrocarbon Odour H High M Moderate L Low Z Zero Sample Type D Disturbed U Undisturbed

2.6 D Ζ

2.8

B6 / 2.7

Log Drawn By:	Laurie White	Logged By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	9/01/2013
Contact:	laurie.white@reumad.com.au	Checked By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	26/02/2013

Refusal at 2.70 m on weathered shale.

Additional Comments

Donut hammer used for SPT's.

30 for 20mm

Borehole Log

	Geo-Logix Pty Ltd Building Q2, Level 3 Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102 Ph: (02) 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222	Hole ID. Project Number: Hole Depth:	B7 1201085 1.50 m
Environment		Sheet:	1 of 1
Project Name:	Tamworth 1201085	Date Started: 10/01/2013	
Location / Site:	5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Completed: 10/01/2013	
Client:	Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd	Level:	
Contractor:	Aimil Pty Ltd	Easting:	
Method:	Solid Stem Auger (Ute Mounted)	Northing:	

_ _ .

Method	Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Tests	Observations / Comments				
		- - 0.2 - 0.4	D	Z	B7 / 0.0				SAND with Clay - dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6), 25% clay, 60% sand, 15% gravel, medium dense.	dry	10/13/14 N=27					
Solid Stem Auger		0.6 0.8 1.0	D	Z	B7 / 1.0	Residuum	Residuum	Residuum	Residuum	Residuum	sc		Very dense below 1.0m.	damp	damp	
		_ 1.2 _ 1.4 _ 1.6											Refusal at 1.50 m		21/28/36 N=64	
0. 10 F M		1.8 2.0 2.2														
00.01.0 0F.001 20/2/10 2.20.19 F.M		2.4 2.6 2.8														
	Z Zero Sample Type D Disturbed															

L (Locati Client:								3.80 1 of			
	Vetho			Solid St	-		jer	(Ute Mounted)		orthing:		
Method	Water Level Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Tests SPT	Observations / Comments	
Solid Stem Auger			5	z	B8 / 0.6		SM	۵. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹. ۹.	SAND with Clay & Gravel - moderate brown (5YR 4/4), 20% clay, 60% sand, 20% gravel, alluvial.	dry		
		<u>o</u> D	z	B8 / 1.2	Alluvium	СН		CLAY with Sand - greyish brown (5YR 3/2), 80% clay, 20% sand, very stiff, high plasticity.	moist	10/10/13 N=23 5/10/14 N=24		
		o 5 D	z	B8 / 2.7	Residuum	сн		Sandy CLAY - dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6), 60% clay, 30% sand, 10% gravel, hard, high plasticity. Clayey SAND - moderate yellow (5Y 7/6), 30% clay, 60% sand, 10% gravel, very dense, highly weathered shale.	moist	14/18/35 N=53		
	- - - - - - -	D	z	B8 / 3.8				Partially Weathered Shale. Refusal at 3.80 m		5 bounc'g		
H M L Z	4.0 rdrocart High Mode Low Zero Imple T Distu Undis	oon Oo rate ype	lour					I Comments mmer used for SPT's.	l	· · · · ·		

Log Drawn By:	Laurie White	Logged By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	10/01/2013
Contact:	laurie.white@reumad.com.au	Checked By:	Ted Lilly	Date:	26/02/2013

_													Borehole Log				
				~			G	ieo-L	.ogix Pty Ltd	Н	lole ID		B9				
									Q2, Level 3	P	roject N	lumber:	1201085				
									9 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102		-		1.10 m				
			GEO_LOGIX										9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222	Hole Depth:			
_	Environmental Consultants						w	ww.ge	o-logix.com.au	5	heet:		1 of 1				
	Project Name: Tamworth					th 1	120	1085		D	ate Sta	rted:	10/01/2013				
	Lo	catio	cation / Site: 5-7 Hilton				6t &	7 Sc	ott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Completed:			10/01/2013				
	Cli	ent:			Hydrox I	Nor	nin	ees F	ty Ltd	Le	evel:						
		ontrac	ctor:		Aimil Pty				-	Easting:							
		ethod			Solid Ste			aor	(Ute Mounted)	Northing:							
_	IVIC				00110 010	5111	Λu	gei			oruning	•					
Γ						Γ	Γ										
	<u>_</u>		þ			/be	lodi	D _D			Tests						
	Method Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	an			Observations / Comments				
:	Method Water L	Depth	Samp	4C 0	Samp	Mater	ISCS	Grapt		Moisture	SPT						
F		+-		-	•	+-	+-	<u> </u>		_							
┝	_	-					⊢	17									
		F							Clayey SAND - moderate reddish orange (10R 6/6), 40% clay, 60% sand, medium dense.	moist							
		0.2															
		F					s										
	2	0.4															
	Auge	L				Ę											
	Solid Stem Auger	0.60				Residuum											
	olid					Re	Г		Clayey SAND - moderate yellow (5Y 7/6), 30%	moist							
ľ	5	0.8							clay, 70% sand, dense to very dense, weathered shale.								
		–					s	\sim									
		1.0															
			D	z	B9 / 1.1						30 for 90mm	1					
F		1.2				Г	T		Refusal at 1.10 m		301111						
		- ^{1.2}															
		F.															
		- 1.4															
		╞															
		- 1.6															
		╞															
		- 1.8															
		F															
		2.0															
≥		F															
22 PI		2.2															
2:26:		L															
2/13		2.4															
r 26/.		L									1						
GD		2.6									1						
Ч GГ		Γ									1						
S.GP.		2.8									1						
LOG		–									1						
TAMWORTH 1201085_BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ GL.GDT 26/2/13 2:26:22 PM		3.0									1						
ΞH		3.0				-	-			1	1	1					
- BO		ocarbo	on Od	our			Ad	dition	al Comments								
0108(M	High Modera	te				Do	nut ha	mmer used for SPT's.								
H 12(L I Z J	Low Zero															
ORT		ole Typ Disturb															
MM∳		Undistu															
1 1																	

REUMAD Ted Lilly Date: 10/01/2013 Log Drawn By: Laurie White Logged By: Date: 26/02/2013 Contact: laurie.white@reumad.com.au Checked By: Ted Lilly

GL LOG 2
E	Geo-Logix Pty Ltd Building Q2, Level 3 Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102	Hole ID. Project Number:	B10 1201085
	Ph: (02) 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222	Hole Depth:	1.40 m
GEO, Environment		Sheet:	1 of 1
Project Name:	Tamworth 1201085	Date Started:	10/01/2013
Location / Site:	5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Completed:	10/01/2013
Client:	Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd	Level:	
Contractor:	Aimil Pty Ltd	Easting:	
Method:	Solid Stem Auger (Ute Mounted)	Northing:	

Method	Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Tests	Observations / Comments
		0.2	D	Z	B10 / 0.0				SAND with Clay & Gravel - yellowish grey (5Y 8/1), 20% clay, 60% sand, 20% gravel, dense.	moist	11/17/17 N=34	
Solid Stem Auger		_ 0.4 <i>0.80</i>				Residuum	SC	No No N	Clayey SAND - dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6), 30% clay, 70% sand, dense.	moist		
		1.0 1.2 1.4	D	Z	B10 / 1.3		SC				30 for 110mm	
		 							Refusal at 1.40 m			
.GDT 26/2/13 2:25:59 PM		2.0										
G		2.6 2.8 3.0										
VORTH 1201085_ 디 00 N 디 전	Hydrocarbon Odour H High M Moderate L Low Z Zero Sample Type D Disturbed U Undisturbed								I Comments mmer used for SPT's.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I	

Date: 10/01/2013 Date: 26/02/2013

Ted Lilly

Ted Lilly

EEO. Drivenmei	Geo-Logix Pty Ltd Building Q2, Level 3 Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102 Ph: (02) 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222 www.geo-logix.com.au	Hole ID. Project Number: Hole Depth: Sheet:	B11 1201085 4.75 m 1 of 1
Project Name:	Tamworth 1201085	Date Started: 10/01/2013	3
Location / Site:	5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Completed: 10/01/2013	3
Client:	Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd	Level:	
Contractor:	Aimil Pty Ltd	Easting:	
Method:	Solid Stem Auger (Ute Mounted)	Northing:	
<u>a</u> <u>a</u>	be Jool	Tests	

	Method	Water Level Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Tests SPT	Observations / Comments	
	4								Sandy CLAY - 60% clay, 40% sand, stiff.	damp			
		0.5	D	z	B11 / 0.0		CL			uump	6/5/5 N=10		
		<u>0.70</u> - - 1.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	D	Z	B11 / 1.3				CLAY with Sand - 80% clay, 20% sand, very stiff, high plasticity.	damp	8/13/16 N=29		
	Solid Stem Auger	- 2.5 - 	D	Z	B11 / 2.8	Alluvium	СН		Hard from 2.8mBGL.		10/17/23 N=40		
LOGS.GPJ GL.GDT 26/2/13 2:26:00 PM		- 4.0 - - - - 4.5 -	D	z	B11 / 4.3				Very stiff from 4.3mBGL.		6/14/15 N=29		
EHOLE		5.0											
15_BOR	Hy H	drocarbo High	n Od	our					l Comments				
TAMWORTH 1201085_BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ	H High M Moderate L Low Z Zero Sample Type D Disturbed U Undisturbed						Donut hammer used for SPT's.						
<u></u>	R	0-0	-	A	Log Drav Co					d Lilly d Lilly		Date: 10/01/2013 Date: 26/02/2013	

Ś	Geo-Logix Pty Ltd Building Q2, Level 3 Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102 Ph: (02) 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222	Hole ID. Project Number: Hole Depth:	B12 1201085 4.30 m
GEO_ Environmenta	Constitutes www.geo-logix.com.au	Sheet:	1 of 1
Project Name:	Tamworth 1201085	Date Started: 10	/01/2013
Location / Site:	5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Completed: 10	/01/2013
Client:	Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd	Level:	
Contractor:	Aimil Pty Ltd	Easting:	
Method:	Solid Stem Auger (Ute Mounted)	Northing:	

Method	Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Tests SPT	Observations / Comments
	-		D	Z	B12 / 0.0		CL		Sandy CLAY - pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2), 60% clay, 40% sand, firm.	damp	5/4/3	
		0.5	D	z	B12 / 1.3	Alluvium	СН		CLAY with Sand - greyish brown (5YR 3/2), 80% clay, 20% sand, stiff, high plasticity.	moist	N=7	
Solid Stem Auger		1.5 2.0							Sandy CLAY - pale vellowish orange (10YR	moist	3/5/7 N=12	
W		3.0	D	Z	B12 / 2.8	Residuum	CL		Sandy CLAY - pale yellowish orange (10YR 8/6), 60% clay, 40% sand, very soft, medium plasticity.		5/11/16 N=27	
.GD1 26/2/13 2:26:02 PM		4.0	D	z	B12 / 4.3		sc		SAND with Clay - pale yellowish orange (10YR 8/6), 20% clay, 80% sand, dense.	damp		
<u>er</u> .		4.5 5.0							Refusal at 4.30 m		5 bounc'g	
	Mod Low Zero ample	h derate / 0	e e d	bur					Il Comments mmer used for SPT's.			

Log Drawn By: Laurie White Contact: laurie.white@reumad.com.au Checked By: Ted Lilly Date: 10/01/2013 Date: 26/02/2013

	Geo-Logix Pty Ltd Building Q2, Level 3 Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102 Ph: (02) 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222	Hole ID. Project Number: Hole Depth:	B13 1201085 6.80 m
Environmenta		Sheet:	1 of 1
Project Name:	Tamworth 1201085	Date Started:	10/01/2013
Location / Site:	5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Completed:	10/01/2013
Client:	Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd	Level:	
Contractor:	Aimil Pty Ltd	Easting:	
Method:	Solid Stem Auger (Ute Mounted)	Northing:	

Method	Water Level Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Tests	Observations / Comments
	-					CL		CLAY with Sand - greyish brown (5YR 3/2),	damp	4/5/4	
	<u>-</u> - -	D	z	B13 / 0.0				80% clay, 20% sand, firm, medium plasticity. CLAY - greyish brown (5YR 3/2), 95% clay, 5% sand, firm, high plasticity.	moist	4/5/4 N=9	
	D	z	B13 / 1.3						2/4/5 N=9		
Solid Stem Auger		D	z	B13 / 2.8	Alluvium	СН				4/6/7 N=13	
Solid	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	D	z	B13 / 4.3				Clayey SAND - dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6), 40% clay, 60% sand, loose.	moist	4/8/12 N=20	
		D	z	B13 / 5.8	Residuum	sc				6/4/6 N=10 15/21/24 N=45	
	- - 7							Refusal at 6.80 m			
Н	drocarbo High		our					Il Comments			
M Moderate L Low Z Zero Sample Type D Disturbed U Undisturbed						Donut hammer used for SPT's.					
R	6-0	-	A	Log Dra					l Lilly I Lilly		Date: 10/01/2013 Date: 26/02/2013

E C		Building	.OGIX Pty Ltd Q2, Level 3 99 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102	Hole ID. Project Nu	umber:		B14 1201085
		Ph: (02)	9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222 o-logix.com.au	Hole Dept Sheet:	th:		4.75 m 1 of 1
Project Name:	Tamworth	1201085		Date Star	ted:	11/01/2013	
Location / Site:	5-7 Hilton	St & 7 Sc	ott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Com	pleted:	11/01/2013	
Client:	Hydrox No	ominees P	ty Ltd	Level:			
Contractor:	Aimil Pty I	Ltd		Easting:			
Method:	Solid Sten	n Auger	(Ute Mounted)	Northing:			

_ _ _

Method	Water Level	Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Descrip	tion	Moisture	Tests SPT	Observations / Comments
		_ _ _ 0.5	D	z	B14 / 0.0	Alluvium	CL		Sandy CLAY - moderate brow clay, 40% sand, very stiff, me	vn (5YR 4/4), 60% dium plasticity.	damp	4/9/11 N=20	
iger		0.60 - - 1.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	D	Z	B14 / 1.3				Sandy CLAY - moderate redd 6/6), 60% clay, 40% sand, ha plasticity.	ish orange (10R rd, medium	damp	8/14/23 N=37	
o.uo Pivi Solid Stem Auger		2.5 	D	z	B14 / 2.8	Residuum	CL					9/17/22 N=39	
LUGOSIGPJ GL.GUT 20/2/13 2:20:00 PM		4.0 4.5 4.5	D	z	B14 / 4.3				Very hard below 4.3mbgl.			13/20/32 N=52	
	Hydrocarbon Odour H High M Moderate L Low Z Zero Sample Type D Disturbed												
		U	-	A	Log Drav				White white@reumad.com.au		ed Lilly ed Lilly		Date: 11/01/2013 Date: 26/02/2013

	Geo-Logix Pty Ltd	Hole ID.	B15
	Building Q2, Level 3 Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street, Warriewood NSW 2102	Project Number:	1201085
	Ph: (02) 9979 1722 Fax: (02) 9979 1222	Hole Depth:	8.70 m
GEO. Environment		Sheet:	1 of 1
Project Name:	Tamworth 1201085	Date Started:	11/01/2013
Location / Site:	5-7 Hilton St & 7 Scott Rd, South Tamworth NSW	Date Completed:	11/01/2013
Client:	Hydrox Nominees Pty Ltd	Level:	
Contractor:	Aimil Pty Ltd	Easting:	
Method:	Solid Stem Auger (Ute Mounted)	Northing:	

Method	Water Level Depth (m)	Sample Type	HC Odour	Sample ID	Material Type	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	Material Description	Moisture	Tests SPT	Observations / Comments
		D	z	B15 / 0.0				Sandy CLAY - greyish brown (5YR 3/2), 65% clay, 35% sand, stiff, medium plasticity.	dry	4/5/7 N=12	
		D	z	B15 / 1.3		CL				6/13/16 N=29	
	2.00	D	z	B15 / 2.8		CL		Sandy CLAY - pale reddish brown (10R 5/4), 60% clay, 40% sand, very stiff, medium plasticity.	damp	5/6/6 N=12	
Solid Stem Auger	4.30	D	z	B15 / 4.3	Alluvium	сн		CLAY - moderate reddish orange (10R 6/6), mottled medium grey (N5), 90% clay, 10% sand, very stiff, high plasticity.	moist	3/4/8 N=12	
	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	D	z	B15 / 5.8			0.000	Sandy GRAVEL with Clay - moderate reddish orange (10R 6/6), 20% clay, 30% sand, 50% gravel, dense.	wet	12/22/16 N=38	
		D	z	B15 / 7.3		GC	ంగంగింగింగింగింగింగి లిగింగింగింగింగింగి			11/14/18 N=32	
	- - -						.0.c	End of Hole at 8.70 m			
M M L Z	ydrocarbo High Modera Low Zero ample Typ Disturb	te De ed	our					I Comments mmer used for SPT's.		· · · · · ·	
J ——			A	Log Drav		-			l Lilly I Lilly		Date: 11/01/2013 Date: 26/02/2013

ATTACHMENT C



hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of client's g all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or o be treated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any holder of this document is an The company's sole responsibility it to its client and this document does not exonerate part extends or preserve of this document is unlikely and other may be preserved to the extends of the document is unlikely and indexident and the other the to the preserved to the second or preserved of the document is unlikely and other the other the preserved to the extends of the document is unlikely and the other the document of the document of the second or preserved of the document is an extended of the document of the second of the document of the document is an extended of the document of the second of the document of t

SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

SOIL CLASSIFICATION TEST DATA

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Geo-Logix 1201085 (1201085)

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street Bulding Q2 Level 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

Tamworth LOCATION:

Lab Number	Sample Source	Sample Description	Moisture Content (%) 1	Dry Density (t/m³)	Liquid Limit 2	Plastic Index 3	Preparation & History 4	Linear Shrink (%) 5
13-AC-302	B4/1.2	SANDY CLAY:yellow	-	-	49	30	DS AD	13.5 Curling
NOTES TO T	ESTING							
1		AS 1289 2.1.1		San	pled By:	Client		
2		AS 1289 2.1.1		Jan	ipica by.	Olient		
3		AS 1289 3.1.2 AS 1289 3.2.1, 3.3.1		Joh	Number:	13-32-31		
4	Preparation:	DS = Dry Sieved WS = Wet Sieved N = Natural State With No Sieving				23/01/20		
S	ample History:	AD = Air Dried OD = Oven Dried at 50°C N = Natural State As Received						
5	Test Method:	AS 1289 3.4.1						
Appro	oved Signatory:	alen Long	Aaron Lacey	,		Date:	30/01/2	013
Hac-MR		This document is issued in accordance with NATA's	accreditation requir	ements				
creditation No. 241								



ns of liability, inc

This document is to be treated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any holder of this document is advised that int instructions, it any. The company's sole responsibility it to its cleant and this document does not exonerate parties to a tantes instruction of the content or associations of this document is unitativit and fordinaris may be processed to the fullest extent d hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of client's ing all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

SOIL CLASSIFICATION TEST DATA

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

1201085 (1201085)

Geo-Logix

Tamworth

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street Bulding Q2 Level 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

LOCATION:

13-AC-303 B7/0.0	SANDY CLAY:yellow	-	-	61	38	4 DS AD	15.0 Curling
NOTES TO TESTING							
	nod: AS 1289 2.1.1		Sam	pled By:	Client		
	nod: AS 1289 3.1.2						
	nod: AS 1289 3.2.1, 3.3.1		Job	Number:	13-32-31	1	
	ion: DS = Dry Sieved WS = Wet Sieved N = Natural State With No Sieving ory: AD = Air Dried OD = Oven Dried at 50°C N = Natural State As Received		Date	e Tested:	23/01/20)13	
5 Test Meth	nod: AS 1289 3.4.1						
Approved Signato	This document is issued in accordance with NATA's	Aaron Lacey			Date:	30/01/2	2013



indings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of client's under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or

SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

SOIL CLASSIFICATION TEST DATA

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Geo-Logix

Tamworth

1201085 (1201085)

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street Bulding Q2 Level 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

LOCATION:

Lab Sample **Sample Description** Moisture Dry Plastic Preparation Liquid Linear Number Source Content Density Limit Index Shrink. & (t/m^3) History (%) (%) 2 3 1 4 5 B13/1.3 13-AC-304 SILTY CLAY:black 73 55 DS 15.0 AD Linear NOTES TO TESTING Sampled By: Client Test Method: AS 1289 2.1.1 1 2 Test Method: AS 1289 3.1.2 3 Test Method: AS 1289 3.2.1, 3.3.1 Job Number: 13-32-31 4 Preparation: DS = Dry Sieved Date Tested: 23/01/2013 WS = Wet Sieved N = Natural State With No Sieving Sample History: AD = Air Dried OD = Oven Dried at 50°C N = Natural State As Received 5 Test Method: AS 1289 3.4.1 alen Lo **Approved Signatory:** Aaron Lacey Date: 30/01/2013 This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements ΝΑΤΑ ac-MR/ Accreditation No. 2418



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

DRY DENSITY/MOISTURE CONTENT RELATION

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

Street Bulding Q2 Level 3

vel 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

PROJECT: 1201085 (1201085) LOCATION: Tamworth



Accreditation No. 2418



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

d therein

CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO

CLIENT: **Geo-Logix**

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

rt is to be treated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any holder of this document is advised that information of any. The company's sole responsibility it to its client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from the content or appearance of his document is unknew that of denders may be prosecuted to the fullest other if of the later.

Bulding Q2 Level 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

rereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of clients g all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or

PROJECT: 1201085 (1201085) LOCATION: Tamworth

Sample Source: Sample Description:	B5/0.0 SANDY GRAVELLY SILT:brown				
Job Number: Laboratory Number:	13-32-31 13-AC-305				
CBR Value @ 2.5mm CBR Value @ 5.0mm	6.0 5.0	(%) (%)			
Sample Data					
Compaction Specification Maximum Dry Density (MDD) Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) Mass of Surchages Number of Days Soaked	100% of MDD at OMC 1.62 20.5 4.5 4	(t/m ³) (%) (kg)			
Sample Preparation					
Dry Density - Before Soaking Dry Density - After Soaking	1.62 1.61	(t/m ³) (t/m ³)			
Retained on 19mm Sieve	0% excluded	(%)			
Moisture Content - Before Soaking	20.9	(%)			
Laboratory Density Ratio	100.0	(%)			
Laboratory Moisture Ratio	102.0	(%)			
Moisture Content - After Soaking					
Top 30mm of Test Sample Remainder of Test Sample	22.1 21.6	(%) (%)			
Swell After Soaking	0.5	(%)			
Compactive Effort	Standard				
Number of Layers Blows per Layer Mass of Rammer Drop of Rammer	3 53 2.7 300	(kg) (mm)			
Comments		. ,			
Date Tested: 29/01/2013 Tested in accordance with AS1289.6.1.1 Determination of the California Bearing Ratio of a soil					
Standard Laboratory Method for a remould	ed specimen.				
Approved Signatory: Can Lary	Aaron Lacey	Date:	30/01/2013		
This document is i					

Accreditation No. 2418



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

DRY DENSITY/MOISTURE CONTENT RELATION

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

treet Bulding Q2 Level 3

3 Warriewood NSW 2102





SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Tamworth

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street 1201085 (1201085)

ated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any holder of this document is advised that information or mpany's sole responsibility it to its client and this document does not excenate parties to a transaction from or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

Bulding Q2 Level 3

of liability int

I hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of client's ng all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgeny or

Warriewood NSW 2102

Sample Source:	B7/0.0				
Sample Description:	GRAVELLY SANDY CLAY: light brown.				
Job Number:	13-32-31				
Laboratory Number:	13-AC-306				
CBR Value @ 2.5mm	10.0	(%)			
CBR Value @ 5.0mm	8.0	(%)			
Sample Data					
Compaction Specification	100% of MDD at OMC				
Maximum Dry Density (MDD)	1.58	(t/m ³)			
Optimum Moisture Content (OMC)	22.0	(%)			
Mass of Surchages	4.5	(kg)			
Number of Days Soaked	4				
Sample Preparation					
Dry Density - Before Soaking	1.57	(t/m ³)			
Dry Density - After Soaking	1.56	(t/m ³)			
Retained on 19mm Sieve	5.7% excluded	(%)			
Moisture Content - Before Soaking	23.0	(%)			
Laboratory Density Ratio	100.0	(%)			
Laboratory Moisture Ratio	104.0	(%)			
Moisture Content - After Soaking					
Top 30mm of Test Sample	25.6	(%)			
Remainder of Test Sample	25.3	(%)			
Swell After Soaking	0.7	(%)			
Compactive Effort	Standard				
Number of Layers	3				
Blows per Layer	53				
Mass of Rammer	2.7	(kg)			
Drop of Rammer	300	(mm)			
Comments					
Date Tested:	29.01.13				
Tested in accordance with AS1289.6.1.1 Determi	nation of the California Bearing Ratio of a soil				
Standard Laboratory Method for a remoulded sp	ecimen.				
Approved Signatory: Clem Lary	Aaron Lacey	Date:	30/01/2013		
This document is issued in this document.					
Accreditation No. 2418					



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

DRY DENSITY/MOISTURE CONTENT RELATION

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

m Street Bulding Q2 Level 3

vel 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

PROJECT: 1201085 (1201085) LOCATION: Tamworth



NATA

MR



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street d hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of client's sing all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO

any subject to its Ger

art is to be treated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any holder of this document is advised that information conta if any. The company's sole responsibility it to its client and this document does not excernate partice to a transaction from ever of the content or appearance of this document is unitedful and offenders may be proceeded to the fulset setter of the law.

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street Bulding Q2 Level 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

s of liability indu

tion and jurisdictional issues established therein.

PROJECT: 1201085 (1201085)

LOCATION:	Tamworth

Sample Source: Sample Description:	B8/0.0 GRAVELLY SANDY SILT: grey/brown.		
Job Number:	13-32-31		
Laboratory Number:	13-AC-307		
CBR Value @ 2.5mm	8.0	(%)	
CBR Value @ 5.0mm	6.0	(%)	
Sample Data			
Compaction Specification	100% of MDD at OMC		
<i>l</i> aximum Dry Density (MDD)	1.67	(t/m ³)	
Optimum Moisture Content (OMC)	20.0	(%)	
lass of Surchages	4.5	(kg)	
lumber of Days Soaked	4		
ample Preparation			
Pry Density - Before Soaking	1.68	(t/m ³)	
Dry Density - After Soaking	1.67	(t/m ³)	
Retained on 19mm Sieve	0% excluded	(%)	
loisture Content - Before Soaking	19.5	(%)	
aboratory Density Ratio	100.0	(%)	
aboratory Moisture Ratio	97.0	(%)	
Noisture Content - After Soaking			
op 30mm of Test Sample	21.1	(%)	
Remainder of Test Sample	20.3	(%)	
well After Soaking	0.3	(%)	
Compactive Effort	Standard		
lumber of Layers	3		
Blows per Layer	53		
Mass of Rammer	2.7	(kg)	
Drop of Rammer	300	(mm)	
Comments			
Date Tested:	29/01/2013		
	ermination of the California Bearing Ratio of a soil		
Standard Laboratory Method for a remoulde	•	_	
Approved Signatory: Clan Lang	Aaron Lacey	Date:	30/01/2013
This document is is	sued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements		
creditation No. 2418			



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

DRY DENSITY/MOISTURE CONTENT RELATION

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

treet Bulding Q2 Level 3

3 Warriewood NSW 2102





SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO

CLIENT: **Geo-Logix**

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

rt is to be treated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any holder of this document is advised that information of any. The company's sole responsibility it to its client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from the content or appearance of this document is unknew that of denders may be prosecuted to the fulset other if of the latest sole of the fulset sole of the fulset

Bulding Q2 Level 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

rereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of clients g all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or

PROJECT: 1201085 (1201085)

LOCATION: Tamworth

Sample Source:	B10/0.0				
Sample Description:	GRAVELLY SILTY CLAY: yellow/brown.				
Job Number:	13-32-31				
Laboratory Number:	13-AC-308				
CBR Value @ 2.5mm	10.0	(%)			
CBR Value @ 5.0mm	8.0	(%) (%)			
		. /			
Sample Data					
Compaction Specification	100% of MDD at OMC	0			
Maximum Dry Density (MDD)	1.69	(t/m ³)			
Optimum Moisture Content (OMC)	19.5	(%)			
Mass of Surchages	4.5	(kg)			
Number of Days Soaked	4				
Sample Preparation					
Dry Density - Before Soaking	1.69	(t/m ³)			
Dry Density - After Soaking	1.69	(t/m ³)			
Retained on 19mm Sieve	6% excluded	(%)			
Moisture Content - Before Soaking	21.0	(%)			
Laboratory Density Ratio	100.0	(%)			
Laboratory Moisture Ratio	107.0	(%)			
Moisture Content - After Soaking					
Top 30mm of Test Sample	21.1	(%)			
Remainder of Test Sample	21.5	(%)			
Swell After Soaking	0.3	(%)			
Compactive Effort	Standard				
Number of Layers	3				
Blows per Layer	53				
Mass of Rammer	2.7	(kg)			
Drop of Rammer	300	(mm)			
Comments					
Date Tested:	29/01/2013				
Tested in accordance with AS1289.6.1.1 Dete	rmination of the California Bearing Ratio of a soil				
Standard Laboratory Method for a remoulded specimen.					
Approved Signatory: Clem Lary	Aaron Lacey	Date:	30/01/2013		
This document is issu	and in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements				

Accreditation No. 2418



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

DRY DENSITY/MOISTURE CONTENT RELATION

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

treet Bulding Q2 Level 3

el 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

PROJECT: 1201085 (1201085) LOCATION: Tamworth



PF-(AU)-[IND(MTE)]-(GEN)-RPT-622.VER1.09.02.2011 - Page 1 of 1

Accreditation No. 2418



PROJECT:

SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO

LOCATION: Tamworth

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

1201085 (1201085)

rt is to be treated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any hobler of this document is advised that information conta I any. The company's sole responsibility it to its client and this document does not exonenab parties to a transaction from eves the context or apprearing of this document is unliked and offenders may be proceeded to be fulset extert of the law.

Bulding Q2 Level 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

s of liability inde

d hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of clients sing all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or

nal issues established therein

Sample Source: Sample Description:	B11/0.0 GRAVELLY SILTY CLAY: yellow/brown.				
Job Number: Laboratory Number:	13-32-31 13-AC-309				
CBR Value @ 2.5mm CBR Value @ 5.0mm	7.0 7.0 7.0	(%) (%)			
Sample Data		(70)			
	100% of MDD at OMC				
Compaction Specification Maximum Dry Density (MDD)	1.54	(t/m ³)			
Optimum Moisture Content (OMC)	22.5	(%)			
Mass of Surchages	4.5	(kg)			
Number of Days Soaked	4				
Sample Preparation					
Dry Density - Before Soaking	1.53	(t/m ³)			
Dry Density - After Soaking	1.52	(t/m ³)			
Retained on 19mm Sieve	0% excluded	(%)			
Moisture Content - Before Soaking	23.4	(%)			
Laboratory Density Ratio	100.0	(%)			
Laboratory Moisture Ratio	104.0	(%)			
Moisture Content - After Soaking					
Top 30mm of Test Sample	26.3	(%)			
Remainder of Test Sample	24.9	(%)			
Swell After Soaking	0.8	(%)			
Compactive Effort	Standard				
Number of Layers	3				
Blows per Layer	53				
Mass of Rammer	2.7	(kg)			
Drop of Rammer	300	(mm)			
Comments					
Date Tested:	29/01/2013				
Tested in accordance with AS1289.6.1.1 Determination of the California Bearing Ratio of a soil Standard Laboratory Method for a remoulded specimen.					
Approved Signatory: Can Long	Aaron Lacey	Date:	30/01/2013		
	in accordance with NATA's accorditation requirements				
This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements					



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

DRY DENSITY/MOISTURE CONTENT RELATION

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street

Street Bulding Q2 Level 3

el 3 Warriewood NSW 2102

PROJECT: 1201085 (1201085) LOCATION: Tamworth



Accreditation No. 2418



SGS Australia Pty Ltd Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street (PO Box 6432) Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia

CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO

CLIENT: Geo-Logix

Tamworth

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Unit 2309 / 4 Daydream Street 1201085 (1201085)

rt is to be treated as an original within the meaning of UCP 600. Any holder of this document is advised that information contri I any. The company's sole responsibility it to its client and this document does not exementa particles to a transaction from east the content or aparamone of this document is unitadul and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest texter of the law.

Bulding Q2 Level 3

of liability int

d hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of clients sing all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or

Warriewood NSW 2102

Sample Source:	B12/0.0		
Sample Description:	SILTY CLAY: black.		
lob Number:	13-32-31		
aboratory Number:	13-AC-310		
CBR Value @ 2.5mm	6.0	(%)	
CBR Value @ 5.0mm	5.0	(%)	
Sample Data			
Compaction Specification	100% of MDD at OMC		
laximum Dry Density (MDD)	1.53	(t/m ³)	
Optimum Moisture Content (OMC)	24.0	(%)	
lass of Surchages	4.5	(kg)	
lumber of Days Soaked	4		
Sample Preparation			
Dry Density - Before Soaking	1.53	(t/m ³)	
Dry Density - After Soaking	1.50	(t/m ³)	
Retained on 19mm Sieve	0% excluded	(%)	
Noisture Content - Before Soaking	24.8	(%)	
aboratory Density Ratio	100.0	(%)	
aboratory Moisture Ratio	103.0	(%)	
Ioisture Content - After Soaking			
op 30mm of Test Sample	29.0	(%)	
Remainder of Test Sample	25.2	(%)	
well After Soaking	1.5	(%)	
Compactive Effort	Standard		
lumber of Layers	3		
Blows per Layer	53		
Mass of Rammer	2.7	(kg)	
Drop of Rammer	300	(mm)	
Comments			
Date Tested:	29/01/2013		
Tested in accordance with AS1289.6.1.1 Dete	ermination of the California Bearing Ratio of a soil		
Standard Laboratory Method for a remoulded	d specimen.		
Approved Signatory: Clem Long	Aaron Lacey	Date:	30/01/2013
This document is iss	This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements		
creditation No. 2418			



ANALYTICAL REPORT



- CLIENT DETAILS		LABORATORY DETAI	LS
Contact	Simon Rosam	Manager	Huong Crawford
Client	SGS Industrial CMT Eastern Sydney	Laboratory	SGS Alexandria Environmental
Address	Unit 15, 33 Maddox Street PO Box 6432 ALEXANDRIA NSW 2015	Address	Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015
Telephone	(02) 8594 0481	Telephone	+61 2 8594 0400
Facsimile	02 8594 0499	Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0499
Email	simon.rosam@sgs.com	Email	au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com
Project	13-32-31	SGS Reference	SE114794 R0
Order Number	(Not specified)	Report Number	0000049175
Samples	4	Date Reported	29 Jan 2013
		Date Received	21 Jan 2013

COMMENTS .

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(4354).

SIGNATORIES .

MA

Andy Sutton Organics Chemist

Dong Liang Inorganics Metals Team Leader

Mercun Vostoska

Snezana Kostoska Inorganics Chemist

Alexandria NSW 2015 Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia Australia t +61 2 8594 0400 f +61 2 8594 0499

www.au.sgs.com



ANALYTICAL REPORT

SE114794 R0

	٤	Imple Number Sample Matrix Sample Date Sample Name	SE114794.001 Soil 21 Jan 2013 1. B2/1.2	SE114794.002 Soil 21 Jan 2013 2. B8/2.7	SE114794.003 Soil 21 Jan 2013 3. B12/1.3	SE114794.004 Soil 21 Jan 2013 4. B14/4.3
Parameter	Units	LOR				
pH in soil (1:5) Method: AN101						
рН	No unit	-	6.7	9.9	9.3	8.8
Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil Method: AN106 Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis) Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography	μS/cm AN245	1	1700	510	880	160
	mg/kg	0.25	25	58	200	
Chloride	ing/kg					63
Chloride Sulphate	mg/kg	0.5	4400	190	750	63 71
		0.5	4400	190	750	



QC SUMMARY

MB blank results are compared to the Limit of Reporting LCS and MS spike recoveries are measured as the percentage of analyte recovered from the sample compared the the amount of analyte spiked into the sample. DUP and MSD relative percent differences are measured against their original counterpart samples according to the formula: the absolute difference of the two results divided by the average of the two results as a percentage. Where the DUP RPD is 'NA', the results are less than the LOR and thus the RPD is not applicable.

Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Soil Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN106

Parameter	QC Reference	Units	LOR	MB	DUP %RPD	LCS %Recovery
Conductivity of Extract (1:5 dry sample basis)	LB032536	µS/cm	1	<1	6 - 7%	103%

pH in soil (1:5) Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN101

Parameter	QC	Units	LOR	DUP %RPD	LCS
	Reference				%Recovery
pH	LB032544	No unit	-	0 - 1%	101%

Soluble Anions (1:5) in Soil by Ion Chromatography Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN245

Parameter	QC	Units	LOR	MB	LCS
	Reference				%Recovery
Chloride	LB032500	mg/kg	0.25	<0.25	94%
Sulphate	LB032500	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5	89%



METHOD SUMMARY

METHOD	
METHOD	METHODOLOGY SUMMARY
AN002	The test is carried out by drying (at either 40°C or 105°C) a known mass of sample in a weighed evaporating basin. After fully dry the sample is re-weighed. Samples such as sludge and sediment having high percentages of moisture will take some time in a drying oven for complete removal of water.
AN101	pH in Soil Sludge Sediment and Water: pH is measured electrometrically using a combination electrode (glass plus reference electrode) and is calibrated against 3 buffers purchased commercially. For soils, an extract with water (or 0.01M CaCl2) is made at a ratio of 1:5 and the pH determined and reported on the extract. Reference APHA 4500-H+.
AN106	Conductivity and TDS by Calculation: Conductivity is measured by meter with temperature compensation and is calibrated against a standard solution of potassium chloride. Conductivity is generally reported as μ mhos/cm or μ S/cm @ 25°C. For soils, an extract with water is made at a ratio of 1:5 and the EC determined and reported on the extract, or calculated back to the as-received sample. Salinity can be estimated from conductivity using a conversion factor, which for natural waters, is in the range 0.55 to 0.75. Reference APHA 2520 B.
AN245	Anions by Ion Chromatography: A water sample is injected into an eluent stream that passes through the ion chromatographic system where the anions of interest ie Br, Cl, NO2, NO3 and SO4 are separated on their relative affinities for the active sites on the column packing material. Changes to the conductivity and the UV-visible absorbance of the eluent enable identification and quantitation of the anions based on their retention time and peak height or area. APHA 4110 B

FOOTNOTES

- IS Insufficient sample for analysis.
- LNR Sample listed, but not received. * This analysis is not covered by the scope of accreditation.

Performed by outside laboratory.

- LOR Limit of Reporting
- $\uparrow \downarrow$ Raised or Lowered Limit of Reporting

Samples analysed as received. Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

The QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: http://www.sgs.com.au.pv.sgsv3/~/media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical%20Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022%20QA%20QC%20Plan.pdf

QFH

QFL

NVL

QC result is above the upper tolerance

QC result is below the lower tolerance

The sample was not analysed for this analyte

This document is issued, on the Client's behalf, by the Company under its General Conditions of Service available on request and accessible at http://www.au.sgs.com/terms_and_conditions_au. The Client's attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Not Validated

Any other holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents.

This report must not be reproduced, except in full.

Page 4 of 4



GEO_LOGIX PTY LTD

ABN 86 116 892 936

Building Q2, Level 3 Suite 2309, 4 Daydream Street Warriewood NSW 2102

> Phone 02 9979 1722 Fax 02 9979 1222

Email info@geo-logix.com.au Web www.geo-logix.com.au